

RESEARCH

Open Access



Exploring the perception of safety culture among nurses in Saudi Arabia

Essa H. Al Muharraq^{1*}, Farida Abdali², Abeer Alfozan³, Sultan Alallah⁴, Bashaer Sayed⁵ and Abdulrahman Makakam¹

Abstract

Background Medical errors and adverse events pose a serious challenge to the global healthcare industry. Nurses are at the frontline in implementing safety measures and protecting patients. This study aimed to investigate nurses' perceptions of the patient safety culture in Saudi Arabia.

Methods This cross-sectional descriptive study used convenience sampling to survey 402 nurses from various hospitals in Jazan, Saudi Arabia. The Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture was used for the data collection.

Results Nurses reported a moderate perception of safety culture, with 60% positive responses. Teamwork had the highest safety culture rating at 77.8%, while responses to error and staffing were the lowest at 39.75% and 46.17%, respectively. Qualifications significantly predicts nurses' safety culture rating ($B = -0.442, t = -4.279, p < 0.01$). Positive correlations were found between event reporting frequency and communication openness ($r = 0.142, p < 0.01$), and patient safety grades with communication about errors ($r = 0.424, p < 0.01$) and hospital management support ($r = 0.231, p < 0.01$).

Conclusions Nurses in Saudi Arabia demonstrated a strong sense of teamwork and commitment to organizational learning. However, critical areas such as staffing and error response require attention to improve patient safety.

Keywords Patient safety, Patient safety culture, Nursing, Hospitals, Saudi Arabia

Background

Medical errors and adverse events pose a significant challenge to public health globally [1]. Roughly 1 out of 10 patients suffers harm in healthcare settings, contributing to an annual toll of >3 million deaths caused by unsafe care practices. Notably, over 50% of these instances of harm are considered preventable [2]. This increase in

such incidences highlights the global healthcare industry's imperative to improve the patient safety culture. The groundbreaking report of the Institute of Medicine, "To Err Is Human," elucidated the prevalence of medical errors in the United States, estimating that tens of thousands of preventable deaths occur annually. This report sparked a global initiative to prioritize patient safety as a key issue in healthcare [3, 4].

The concept of safety culture in healthcare has gained considerable attention in recent years, with various studies revealing its efficacy in improving patient outcomes and reducing adverse events [5, 6]. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) defined the safety culture of an organization as the product of individual and group values, attitudes, perceptions, competencies, and behavior patterns that identify the

*Correspondence:

Essa H. Al Muharraq
Essa.almuharraq@gmail.com

¹Nursing Administration, Al Darb General Hospital, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

²Nursing Administration, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

³Pharmacy, Prince Mohammed Bin Nasser Hospital, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

⁴Nursing Administration, Prince Mohammed Bin Nasser Hospital, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

⁵Nursing Administration, Eradah & Psychiatry Hospital, Jazan, Saudi Arabia



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

commitment to and the style and proficiency of an organization's health and safety management [7]. According to the World Health Organization, the implementation of safety culture interventions significantly reduces medical errors, decreases staff turnover, and improves morale [8]. Additionally, a positive safety culture helped develop a more satisfied and committed workforce, which may translate into better patient outcomes [9].

Nurses in healthcare organizations are instrumental in delivering high-quality patient care, frequently acting as the first line of defense to ensure patient safety [10]. Their pivotal role includes the prevention of medical errors and adverse events, which is crucial considering the complexity and demanding nature of their work. The high-stress environment endemic to nursing exacerbates the risk of errors, making them particularly vulnerable to mistakes and adverse events, potentially compromising the well-being of patients [11]. Several studies, such as those by Chegini *et al.* [12], have revealed a strong correlation between positive safety culture promotion and medical error reduction within nursing. A positive safety culture encourages open communication, organizational commitment to learning from mistakes, and unwavering patient safety prioritization [13]. Nurses in such environments are empowered to report errors without fear of retribution, and are actively involved in initiatives aimed at improving safety protocols. Workload and staffing levels play a significant role in nurses' ability to provide high-quality patient care and safely manage complex and critical situations [14, 15]. Meanwhile, effective leadership, clear communication, and teamwork among nurses helped overcome many of the barriers that hindered a positive safety culture [16]. Introducing measures to improve safety culture has substantially reduced mortality rates, lowered staff attrition rates, and boosted overall morale [17–19].

The number of complaints and claims against healthcare providers due to mortality or morbidities associated with adverse events is increasing in the Saudi Arabian healthcare system [20, 21]. Ineffective leadership, blame culture, workload/inadequate staffing, and poor communication are the main factors that hinder a positive patient safety culture in Saudi Arabia [16, 22]. Common attributes in Saudi healthcare facilities include supportive organizational attitudes toward learning/continuous improvement, good teamwork within units, and support from hospital management for patient safety. However, evidence indicates that healthcare professionals in Saudi Arabia lack the appropriate awareness of cultural safety concepts, and these concepts are inadequately implemented in the healthcare system. Rawas and Abou Hashish [23] revealed that the overall positive response rate of the predictors of patient safety culture in the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC) survey was

63.46%, warranting a comprehensive safety culture program to improve the safety culture perception among nurses in Saudi Arabia. Furthermore, Kaud [24] conducted a review of patient safety in the Saudi healthcare system and revealed a lack of understanding of patient safety concepts, a need for a systematic approach to patient safety, and a more positive patient safety culture is associated with a lower occurrence of sentinel events [25]. The same review indicated the importance of leadership in developing and implementing a patient-centered safety culture and the need for organizational support to achieve this goal.

Given the complexity and interdependence within healthcare settings as outlined, this study employs Systems Theory as its foundational framework to understand the multifaceted interactions that influence patient safety culture among nurses in Saudi Arabia. von Bertalanffy described what has since become known as General Systems Theory, the concept that systems cannot be reduced to a series of parts functioning in isolation, but that, in order to understand the whole, one must understand the interrelations between these parts [26]. Systems Theory, which views organizations as interconnected and dynamic entities, is particularly pertinent for identifying how elements such as leadership effectiveness, staffing adequacy, and communication impact the safety culture. By applying this theory, the study will analyze not just isolated issues but the broader systemic interactions within nursing contexts, aiming to pinpoint key leverage here systemic changes can significantly enhance overall safety culture and patient safety.

The perception of safety culture among nurses is crucial to patient care outcomes; however, a comprehensive understanding of how nurses experience and interpret this culture within healthcare organizations remains unclear. This study aims to fill this gap by investigating nurses' perceptions and understanding of patient safety culture in nursing to analyze existing cultures and determine strategies to build or shape them. This is particularly pertinent in Saudi Arabia, where the healthcare sector is undergoing significant transformations to meet the ambitious health standards set forth by Saudi Vision 2030. By exploring the intricate interactions and factors within healthcare settings that influence nurses' perceptions, such as leadership dynamics, communication systems, and policy frameworks, the study will provide valuable insights. These findings will help healthcare leaders and policymakers to design and implement culturally relevant reforms that enhance patient safety culture, contribute to the improvement of healthcare quality and safety, and support the nation's goal of becoming a leader in healthcare innovation.

Methods

Design

This study used a cross-sectional descriptive design.

Setting

Nurses from a range of departments within two major tertiary hospitals and five general hospitals in Jazan, Saudi Arabia, were recruited. These hospitals are known for their comprehensive healthcare services and are appropriate settings for investigating a wide spectrum of safety culture attributes.

Participants and sampling

The study used convenience sampling and calculated the sample size using the Taro-Yamen formula for a finite population. Assuming a sample size of $n=338$ yielded a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$. The study sample consisted of 402 nurses employed at two major tertiary and five general hospitals in Jazan, Saudi Arabia. The inclusion criterion was registered nurses who were currently employed by one of the hospitals. To maintain a focus on bedside care providers, nursing staff working in Outpatient Departments and who held managerial positions without direct patient care duties were excluded.

Instrumentation

The HSOPSC, developed by AHRQ, was specifically designed to assess the patient safety culture of healthcare settings. The HSOPSC includes 32 items that evaluate different dimensions of the patient safety culture. The tool was used nationally in previous studies that assessed the perception of nurses on the patient safety culture in Saudi Arabia [27, 28].

The HSOPSC consists of 10 dimensions, namely: teamwork within units, supervisor expectations and actions promoting patient safety, organizational learning—continuous improvement, management support, feedback and communication about errors, communication openness, teamwork across units, staffing, handoffs and transitions, and non-punitive response to errors. The HSOPSC is a validated tool with Cronbach's alpha ranging from 0.63 to 0.84, which suggests acceptable to good reliability. In order to verify the reliability and applicability of the questionnaire used in this study, a pilot study was conducted with the participation of 15 nurses. The results showed Cronbach's α coefficient of 0.80.

Respondents rated each item on a five-point Likert scale, indicating the level of agreement or frequency on a scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) or from 1 (never) to 5 (always). Additionally, the HSOPSC includes an overall patient safety culture rating, in which participants evaluate the level of patient safety in their specific work area or unit, ranging from "excellent" to "poor."

Procedure for data collection

The primary data of the study was collected between July and August 2023. The researchers collaborated with the regional nursing administration to organize meetings with nurses and personally handed out the survey forms to the participants. During these meetings, the researchers clarified the study's objective and informed the nurses that their consent to participate would be assumed upon the submission of the completed survey. Every participant was provided with a sealable envelope to ensure their answers' confidentiality and anonymity. Out of the 500 questionnaires that were handed out, 402 were returned, resulting in a response rate of 80.4%.

Ethical considerations

This study obtained ethical approval from Jazan Health Affairs before the commencement of data collection. The survey began with an informed consent cover page, which all participants were required to read before completing the questionnaire. The participants were informed that submitting the completed survey would constitute their consent to participate in the study. Rigorous measures have been established to safeguard the privacy and confidentiality of participant data throughout the entire process.

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25.0 was used. Descriptive statistics, such as means and percentages of responses, were used to summarize the HSOPSC survey data. Regression analysis was employed to assess the impact of staff demographics on their ratings of safety culture. Pearson correlation analysis was used to investigate the association between measures of patient safety culture and two key variables: the overall patient safety grade and the frequency of events reported.

Results

The total sample size of participants was 402 nurses, consisting predominantly of female nurses (84.1%) with bachelor's degrees (60.0%). The majority were Saudi nationals (86.8%) and were married (56.7%). Most nurses were young, aged 18–30 years (54.7%), and had 0–10 years of experience (73.4%); see (Table 1).

Teamwork was the most highly rated dimension, with an average positive response of 77.8%, followed by organizational learning (with a 72.3% positive response) and communication about error (69.36% positive response). In contrast, response to error scored the lowest positive score among all dimensions (39.75%), followed by staffing levels, which received the second lowest average positive rating at 46.17%, (Table 2).

The regression analysis exploring the impact of demographic factors on nurses' ratings for safety culture

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of participants

Demographic variables	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	64	15.9
	Female	338	84.1
Qualification	Diploma	138	34.3
	Bachelor	241	60.0
	Master	23	5.7
Nationality	Saudi	349	86.8
	Non-Saudi	53	13.2
Marital Status	Single	148	36.8
	Married	228	56.5
	Divorced	21	5.5
	Widowed	5	1.2
Age, year	18–30	220	54.7
	31–45	172	42.8
	>46	10	2.5
Experience	0–10	295	73.4
	11–25	104	25.9
	>25	3	0.7

demonstrates that while the model is statistically significant, it explains only a minor portion of the variance (5.9%). Among the demographic variables assessed only 'Qualification' showed a significant ($B = -0.442$, $t = -4.279$, $p < 0.001$), see Table 3.

Positive correlations were found between the frequency of event reporting and communication openness ($r = 0.142$, $p < 0.01$), and nurses' reports of patient safety events ($r = 0.182$, $p < 0.01$). Patient safety grades were correlated with communication about error ($r = 0.424$, $p < 0.01$), hospital management support ($r = 0.231$, $p < 0.01$), clinical leader support ($r = 0.210$, $p < 0.01$), organizational learning and continuous improvement ($r = 0.190$, $p < 0.01$), teamwork ($r = 0.104$, $p < 0.05$), and response to error ($r = -0.223$, $p < 0.01$) (Table 4).

Discussion

The patient safety culture is crucial in healthcare settings. This study aimed to explore perceptions of safety culture among nurses in Saudi Arabia. In this study, nurses reported a moderate level of safety culture perception, with a 60% positive response. However, there is significant variance across specific dimensions that warrant closer examination. The teamwork dimension recorded the highest positive response rate (77%), which is similar to the average rate of AHRQ (78%) and higher than that in studies conducted in Iran (65%) [12] and South Korea (44%) [13]. The results confirmed that the healthcare field relies heavily on the collaborative work of diverse groups of specialists [29].

However, only 46.17% of the respondents provided positive feedback concerning staffing levels. This finding aligns with global challenges in nursing shortages and retention, highlighting a significant issue in Saudi

Arabia's healthcare system as well. Previous studies that have proposed an association between nursing staffing levels and safety culture support this finding suggesting that insufficient staffing not only increases stress and job dissatisfaction but also heightens the risk of burnout and compromises patient care quality [1, 30, 31]. This is also consistent with Upadhyay et al. (2021), who indicated an indirect effect of registered nurse staffing on safety culture perceptions [32]. To address this, healthcare facilities should consider strategies such as improving nurse-to-patient ratios, implementing flexible scheduling to reduce burnout, and using part-time or floating staff during peak periods. These measures can alleviate staff workload, potentially decreasing job dissatisfaction and enhancing patient care quality. A positive response to the organizational learning—continuous improvement dimension indicated that most nurses felt that their units were committed to improving patient safety through ongoing process of reviews and enhancements. This result is supported by Abuosi et al. [1] and Rawas and Hashish [23] in which high positive score indicates the presence of dynamic work culture. Such environments are characterized by continuous evaluation, learning, and adaptation, which are essential elements for maintaining and enhancing safety standards.

The response to errors within nursing units was identified as a critical area requiring improvement, as evidenced by the lowest positive response rates among the survey domains. The results indicated a notable disconnect between current error management practices and the principles of a non-punitive approach that is essential for patient safety. The blame culture could discourage the transparent reporting of errors and hinder the potential for systemic learning and improvement, which is well documented to be crucial for patient safety [33, 34]. Remarkably, the communication about error dimension showed a positive response rate, indicating that a majority of nursing staff felt adequately informed about errors and the subsequent preventive actions taken within their unit. This represents a proactive approach to error communication and commitment to continuous improvement, which are key factors in promoting a strong safety culture [35, 36]. The reluctance to report errors due to fear of blame is a significant barrier to improving safety culture. Hospitals should implement and enforce non-punitive error reporting policies. Creating an environment where errors are openly discussed and viewed as opportunities for learning rather than occasions for punishment could significantly enhance error reporting rates. The findings for communication openness in the study yielded a positive response rate of 55.75%, indicating a foundation for open communication within units, with significant room for improvement. This result is consistent with other studies in which the

Table 2 Nurse perceptions of safety culture

Items	Strongly disagree/disagree	Neutral	Strongly agree/agree	Average positive response
Teamwork				
We work together as an effective team in this unit	6 (1.5%)	23 (5.7%)	346 (92.7%)	77.8% 346 (92.7%)
Staff in this unit help each other during busy times,	10 (2.4%)	21 (5.2%)	370 (92%)	370 (92%)
Staff working in this unit have a problem with disrespectful behavior	196 (48.8%)	86 (21.4%)	84 (20.9%)	196 (48.8%) 46.17%
Staffing and Work Pace				
We have enough staff to handle the workload in this unit	131 (32.6%)	57 (14.2%)	204 (50.7%)	204 (50.7%)
Staff in this unit work longer hours than is best for patient care	55 (13.7%)	61 (15.2%)	273 (67.9%)	55 (13.7%)
This unit relies too much on temporary, float, or PRN staff	134 (33.3%)	93 (23.1%)	134 (33.3%)	134 (33.3%)
This unit has a fast working pace that negatively affects patient safety	183 (45.5%)	95 (23.6%)	101 (25.2%)	183 (45.5%) 72.3%
Organizational Learning—Continuous Improvement				
This unit regularly reviews work processes to identify the necessity of changes to improve patient safety	31 (7.7%)	37 (9.2%)	327 (81.4%)	327 (81.4%)
In this unit, changes to improve patient safety are evaluated to identify their effectiveness	28 (7%)	32 (8%)	337 (83.8%)	337 (83.8%)
This unit allows the same patient safety problems to persist	208 (51.7%)	72 (17.9%)	90 (22.4%)	208 (51.7%) 39.75%
Response to Error				
Staff in this unit believe that their mistakes are being used against them	135 (33.6%)	100 (24.9%)	141 (35%)	135 (33.6%)
Incidence reported in this unit seemed to document the person and not the problem	126 (31.3%)	89 (22.1%)	168 (41.8%)	126 (31.3%)
This unit focuses on learning rather than blaming individuals during errors	67 (16.7%)	69 (17.2%)	254 (63.2%)	254 (63.2%)
Support for staff involved in patient safety errors is lacking in this unit	124 (30.9%)	105 (26.1%)	139 (34.6%)	124 (30.9%) 59.56%
Supervisor, Manager, or Clinical Leader Support for Patient Safety				
My supervisor, manager, or clinical leader seriously considers staff suggestions for improving patient safety	39 (9.7%)	49 (12.2%)	300 (74.6%)	300 (74.6%)
My supervisor, manager, or clinical leader wants us to work faster during busy times, even if it indicates taking shortcuts	108 (26.8%)	92 (22.9%)	182 (45.3%)	108 (26.8%)
My supervisor, manager, or clinical leader takes action to address patient safety concerns that are raised to their attention	24 (6%)	54 (13.4%)	311 (77.3%)	311 (77.3%)
Communication About Error				
We are informed about errors that happen in this unit	39 (9.7%)	84 (20.9%)	275 (68.4%)	275 (68.4%)
We discuss ways to prevent errors from persisting in this unit	44 (11%)	56 (13.9%)	295 (73.4%)	295 (73.4%)
We are informed about changes that are made based on event reports in this unit	37 (9.2%)	82 (20.4%)	267 (66.3%)	267 (66.3%) 55.75%
Communication Openness				
Staff speak up about things that may negatively affect patient care in this unit	33 (8.2%)	73 (18.2%)	289 (71.9%)	289 (71.9%)
Staff in this unit speak up about someone with more authority doing something unsafe for patients	79 (19.6%)	67 (16.7%)	241 (60%)	241 (60%)
Those with more authority are open to their patient safety concern when staff speak up in this unit	52 (12.9%)	100 (24.9%)	210 (52.3%)	210 (52.3%)
Staff in this unit are afraid to ask questions when something does not seem right	156 (38.8%)	118 (29.4%)	108 (26.9%)	156 (38.8%)
Reporting Patient Safety Events Dimension				59.45%

Table 2 (continued)

Items	Strongly disagree/disagree	Neutral	Strongly agree/agree	Average positive response	
How often is it reported when a mistake is caught and corrected before reaching the patient	63(15.7%)	78(19.4%)	238(59.2%)	238(59.2%)	
How often is it reported when a mistake reaches the patient and could have harmed the patient, but did not	67(16.7%)	69(17.2%)	240(59.7%)	240(59.7%)	
Hospital Management Support for Patient Safety dimension.				61.1%	
The actions of hospital management indicate that patient safety is a top priority	17(4.2%)	37(9.2%)	342(85.1%)	342(85.1%)	
Hospital management provides adequate resources to improve patient safety	40(9.9%)	72(17.9%)	280(69.6%)	280(69.6%)	
Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens	115(28.6%)	97(24.1%)	163(40.6%)	115(28.6%)	
Handoffs and Information Exchange dimension.				58.3%	
Important information is frequently left out when transferring patients from one unit to another	199(49.5%)	85(21.1%)	88(21.9%)	199(49.5%)	
Important patient care information is frequently left out during shift changes	209(52%)	83(20.6%)	91(22.7%)	209(52%)	
Time to exchange all key patient care information is adequate during shift changes	36(8.9%)	61(15.2%)	295(73.4%)	295(73.4%)	
Nurses' Patient Safety Ratings	Poor 7(1.7%)	Fair 46(11.5%)	Good 105 (26.1%)	Very Good 109 (27.1%)	Excellent 135 (33.6%)

Table 3 Regression Analysis of Nurses' Ratings for Safety Culture Based on Demographic Characteristics

	R	R square	Adjusted R square	Std. error	
	0.242	0.059	0.044	1.059	
	Sum of squares	Df	Mean square	F	p
Regression	27.497	6	4.583	4.088	0.001
Residual	441.734	395	1.121		
Total	469.232	401			
	Unstandardized coefficients (B)	Std. error	Standardized coefficients (B)	T	p
Constant	5.224	0.529		9.868	0.001
Age	-0.009	0.015	-0.054	-0.650	0.516
Gender	-0.052	0.154	-0.018	-0.339	0.735
Marital status	0.018	0.090	0.011	0.204	0.838
Nationality	-0.238	0.174	-0.074	-1.367	0.172
Experience as a nurse	-0.006	0.016	-0.033	-0.384	0.701
Qualification	-0.442	0.103	-0.230	-4.279	0.001

level of communication openness about errors was equi-
pollent among participants [13, 37]. Improving open
communication is crucial for maintaining patient safety,
as it encourages reporting and collaborative problem-
solving. Healthcare facilities should implement regular,
structured communication forums such as briefings and
debriefings, which can help ensure all team members are
aware of ongoing issues and the measures being taken to
address them.

Leadership also plays a crucial role; a positive percep-
tion of leadership supports patient safety among nursing
staff. Hospital management and nurse managers should
demonstrate inclusiveness by seeking opposing view-
points, demonstrating a willingness to listen and respond

to staff concerns and recommendations, show apprecia-
tion for staff input, and treat the staff with respect. The
positive notion of participants about management com-
mitment to safety is consistent with other studies con-
ducted in Saudi Arabia [3, 38]. Therefore, healthcare
leaders should undergo training that emphasizes open
communication, transparency, and inclusiveness. Lead-
ership programs should also focus on recognizing and
appreciating staff efforts, boosting morale and promot-
ing a proactive safety culture. A small majority of the
staff reported patient safety events. This rate reveals the
level of engagement in reporting safety events, especially
when errors are intercepted before reaching the patient
or when an error reaches the patient but causes no harm.

Table 4 Correlation between patient safety culture measures on overall patient safety grade and frequency events reported

Items	Teamwork	Staffing and work pace	Organizational learning—continuous improvement	Response to error	Supervisor, manager, or clinical leader support	Communication about error	Communication openness	Reporting patient safety events	Hospital management support for patient safety	Handoffs and information exchange
Number of events reported	<i>r</i> 0.018 <i>P</i> 0.724	0.002 0.969	0.069 0.170	0.048 0.339	0.033 0.504	0.024 0.628	0.142** 0.004	0.182** 0.001	0.014 0.776	0.081 0.105
Patient Safety Rating	<i>r</i> 0.104* <i>P</i> 0.037	0.020 0.693	0.190** 0.001	-0.223** 0.001	0.210** 0.001	0.424** 0.001	0.081 0.104	0.186** 0.001	0.231** 0.001	-0.055 0.272

The positive response rate indicates that healthcare staff recognize the importance of reporting both near misses and no-harm events, which is essential for learning and improving patient safety systems [39]. Effective handoffs and clear information exchanges are crucial for ensuring patient safety and continuity of care, as they minimize the risk of information loss and misunderstandings that could lead to errors [40]. This gap may be due to various factors such as inadequate communication systems, insufficient time allocated for handoffs, lack of standardized procedures, or limited training on effective communication strategies [35].

The regression analysis revealed that higher qualifications among nurses predict lower safety culture ratings. Nurses with higher qualifications typically have access to more extensive education and training, which likely increases their exposure to best practices and ideal safety standards. This enhanced exposure can elevate their expectations for their work environments, leading them to assess their workplace safety culture more critically when these expectations are not met. Additionally, advanced education may equip nurses with a sharper ability to identify and understand systemic flaws and safety lapses that might be overlooked by others [41]. This study identified significant correlations between dimensions of safety culture and both the perceptions of overall safety and the frequency of event reporting, aligning with findings by Abuosi et al. [1] and Saleh et al. [40]. Notably, open communication and a non-punitive environment correlated weakly but positively with increased event reporting. This suggests that a culture where nurses feel comfortable speaking, asking questions, and discussing errors openly might contribute to a slight increase in the reporting of safety events [42]. However, the weak nature of this correlation indicates that other factors might also play significant roles in influencing event reporting. Therefore, while fostering open communication and a non-punitive culture is beneficial, these measures alone may not be sufficient to significantly enhance event reporting rates. Similarly, Falcone et al. [43] highlighted the positive effect of promoting open communication and non-punitive responses to error reporting on the rates at which events are reported.

Teamwork, leadership support, organizational learning and communication about errors exhibited only weak to moderate positive correlations with higher patient safety grades, despite their recognized importance in previous studies [3, 39, 44]. These findings, which emphasize the role of collaborative environments and ongoing improvement efforts in achieving better safety outcomes, should be interpreted with caution due to the mild strength of the correlations. Conversely, a blame culture was negatively correlated with safety perceptions, highlighting the

need for healthcare settings to shift toward more supportive, learning-focused approaches [45, 46].

The study offers valuable insights. However, its use of self-reported data from a non-representative sample of nurses in a single region of Saudi Arabia presents certain generalizability limitations. The cross-sectional design restricts the ability to ascertain causality or observe trends over time. Moreover, the lack of objective criteria and the presence of potential confounding variables, such as different hospital regulations and differing degrees of staff training, may mask the actual impact of safety culture on patient outcomes. To address existing limitations and enhance the rigor of future research, it is recommended to broaden the demographic and geographic scope of the participant base, and integrate a mix of qualitative and quantitative data. This approach will provide a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics influencing safety culture within healthcare environments.

Conclusion

The current study on patient safety culture among nurses in Saudi Arabia revealed a strong sense of teamwork and a positive approach to organizational learning. However, it also emphasizes significant concerns about staffing which warrants resolution to improve patient safety. The study indicates that communication about errors and response to errors require improvement despite a generally favorable view of leadership support. Overall, the results indicate both commendable strengths and critical areas for development to foster a culture that ensures patient safety and care.

Abbreviations

AHRQ	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
HSOPSC	Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture
WHO	World Health Organization

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the participants of this study for their essential contributions and insights. We also thank the regional nursing administration in Jazan for their support.

Author contributions

E. A. designed the study, drafted the manuscript, and reviewed the manuscript. F. A. managed the data collection and editing. A. A. led the data collection process and drafted the manuscript. S. (A) handled the statistical analyses and results. (B) S. supported participant recruitment and manuscript revision. A. M. coordinated logistics and contributed to drafting. All the authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study received no specific grants from public, commercial, or non-profit agencies.

Data availability

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study received ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Jazan Health Affairs, under the Saudi Arabian Ministry of Health, with approval number 2342, dated May 17, 2023. All study procedures adhered to the ethical guidelines mandated by the responsible committee on human experimentation as well as the principles of the Helsinki Declaration as revised in 2008. Furthermore, the survey started with an informed consent cover page that all participants were required to read before completing the questionnaire. The participants were informed that submitting the completed survey would constitute their consent to participate in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 19 April 2024 / Accepted: 6 June 2024

Published online: 19 June 2024

References

1. Abuosi AA, Akologo A, Anaba EA. Determinants of patient safety culture among healthcare providers in the Upper East Region of Ghana. *J Patient Saf Risk Manag.* 2020;25:35–43.
2. World Health Organization. Patient Safety. 2023. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/patient-safety>. Accessed 29 Mar. 2024.
3. Azyabi A, Karwowski W, Davahli MR. Assessing patient safety culture in hospital settings. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021;18:2466.
4. Institute of Medicine. To err is human: building a safer health system. 1999. <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/resource/9728/To-Err-is-Human-1999-report-brief.pdf>. Accessed date abbrev. month year.
5. Berry JC, Davis JT, Bartman T, Hafer CC, Lieb LM, Khan N, et al. Improved safety culture and teamwork climate are associated with decreases in patient harm and hospital mortality across a hospital system. *J Patient Saf.* 2020;16:130–6.
6. Yesilyaprak T, Demir Korkmaz F. The relationship between surgical intensive care unit nurses' patient safety culture and adverse events. *Nurs Crit Care.* 2023;28:63–71.
7. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. What is patient safety culture? AHRQ. 2014. <https://www.ahrq.gov/sops/about/index.html>. Accessed date abbrev. month year.
8. World Health Organization. Global patient safety action plan 2021–2030: towards eliminating avoidable harm in health care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
9. Mansour H, Abu Sharour LA. Results of survey on perception of patient safety culture among emergency nurses in Jordan: influence of burnout, job satisfaction, turnover intention, and workload. *J Healthc Qual Res.* 2021;36:370–7.
10. Jafarpanah M, Rezaei B. Association between organizational citizenship behavior and patient safety culture from nurses' perspectives: a descriptive correlational study. *BMC Nurs.* 2020:1–8.
11. Al Muharraq EH, Alallah SM, Alkhayrat SA, Jahlan AG. An overview of missed nursing care and its predictors in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *Nurs Res Pract.* 2022.
12. Chegini Z, Kakemam E, Asghari Jafarabadi M, Janati A. The impact of patient safety culture and the leader coaching behaviour of nurses on the intention to report errors: a cross-sectional survey. *BMC Nurs.* 2020;19:1–9.
13. Han Y, Kim JS, Seo Y. Cross-sectional study on patient safety culture, patient safety competency, and adverse events. *West J Nurs Res.* 2020;42(1):32–40.
14. Al Ma'mari Q, Sharour LA, Al Omari O. Fatigue, burnout, work environment, workload and perceived patient safety culture among critical care nurses. *Br J Nurs.* 2020;29(1):28–34.
15. Alrabae YM, Aboshaiqah AE, Tumala RB. The association between self-reported workload and perceptions of patient safety culture: a study of intensive care unit nurses. *J Clin Nurs.* 2021;30(7–8):1003–17.
16. Sarfo JO, Ocloo JEY, Ansa EW, Amoatu M. Safety culture and patient safety outcomes in developing countries: a narrative review. *Integr Health Res J.* 2023;1:43–53.

17. Wong SY, Fu ACL, Han J, Lin J, Lau MC. Effectiveness of customised safety intervention programmes to increase the safety culture of hospital staff. *BMJ Open Qual.* 2021;10:e000962.
18. Zaitoun RA, Said NB, de Tantilho L. Clinical nurse competence and its effect on patient safety culture: a systematic review. *BMC Nurs.* 2023;22:173.
19. Ghaffar UB, Ahmed SM, Faraz A. A review of the frequency of medical error in Saudi Arabia: an emerging concern. *J Evid Based Med Healthc.* 2015;2:8692–5.
20. Almannie R, Almuhaideb M, Alyami F, Alkhayyal A, Binsaleh S. The status of medical malpractice litigations in Saudi Arabia: analysis of the annual report. *Saudi J Anaesth.* 2021;15:97–100.
21. Alabbas AM, Althubayani AS, Alfaki M, Alharthi FA, Alkarani A. Evaluation of patient safety culture as perceived by nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Nurs.* 2023;10:125–33. <https://doi.org/10.2478/fon-2023-0013>.
22. Albalawi A, Kidd L, Cowey E. Factors contributing to the patient safety culture in Saudi Arabia: a systematic review. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10:e037875.
23. Rawas H, Abou Hashish EA. Predictors and outcomes of patient safety culture at King Abdulaziz Medical City, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. A nursing perspective. *BMC Nurs.* 2023;22:229.
24. Kaud Y, O'Connor P, O'Malley R, Dunne R, Lydon S. A scoping review of patient safety research carried out in Saudi Arabian hospitals. *IJQHC Commun.* 2022;2(2):lyac014.
25. Binkheder S, Alaska YA, Albaharnah A, AlSultan RK, Alqahtani NM, Amr AA, Aljerian N, Alkutbe R. The relationships between patient safety culture and sentinel events among hospitals in Saudi Arabia: a national descriptive study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2023;23(1):270.
26. von Bertalanffy L. General system theory; foundations, development, applications. New York: G. Braziller; 1969.
27. Aboshaiqah AE, Baker OG. Assessment of nurses' perceptions of patient safety culture in a Saudi Arabia hospital. *J Nurs Care Qual.* 2013;28(3):272–80.
28. Alahmadi HA. Assessment of patient safety culture in Saudi Arabian hospitals. *Qual Saf Health Care.* 2010;19(5):e17.
29. Schot E, Tummers L, Noordegraaf M. Working on working together. A systematic review on how healthcare professionals contribute to interprofessional collaboration. *J Interprof Care.* 2020;34:332–42.
30. Alquwez N, Cruz JP, Almoghairi AM, Al-otaibi RS, Almutairi KO, Alicante JG, Colet PC. Nurses' perceptions of patient safety culture in three hospitals in Saudi Arabia. *J Nurs Scholarsh.* 2018;50(4):422–31.
31. Azyabi A, Karwowski W, Davahli MR. Assessing patient safety culture in hospital settings. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021;18(5):2466.
32. Upadhyay S, Weech-Maldonado R, Lemak CH, Stephenson AL, Smith DG. Hospital staffing patterns and safety culture perceptions: the mediating role of perceived teamwork and perceived handoffs. *Health Care Manage Rev.* 2021;46:227–36.
33. Andel SA, Tedone AM, Shen W, Arvan ML. Safety implications of different forms of understaffing among nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Adv Nurs.* 2022;78:121–30.
34. Murray JS, Clifford J, Larson S, Lee JK, Sculli GL. Implementing just culture to improve patient safety. *Mil Med.* 2022;188:1596–9.
35. Ghezjeljeh TN, Farahani MA, Ladani FK. Factors affecting nursing error communication in intensive care units: a qualitative study. *Nurs Ethics.* 2021;28:131–44.
36. Mihadawi M, Al-Amer R, Darwish R, Randall S, Afaneh T. The influence of nursing work environment on patient safety. *Workplace Health Saf.* 2020;68:384–90.
37. Levine KJ, Carmody M, Silk KJ. The influence of organizational culture, climate and commitment on speaking up about medical errors. *J Nurs Manag.* 2020;28:130–8.
38. Aljaffary A, Al Yaqoub F, Al Madani R, Aldossary H, Alumran A. Patient safety culture in a teaching hospital in Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia: assessment and opportunities for improvement. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy.* 2021;14:3783–95.
39. Webster K LW, Keebler JR, Lazzara EH, Chaparro A, Greilich P, Fagerlund A. Handoffs and teamwork: a framework for care transition communication. *Joint Comm J Qual Patient Saf.* 2022;48:343–53.
40. Saleh AM, Darawad MW, Al-Hussami M. The perception of hospital safety culture and selected outcomes among nurses: an exploratory study. *Nurs Health Sci.* 2015;17:339–46.
41. Bignaut AJ, Coetzee SK, Klopper HC. Nurse qualifications and perceptions of patient safety and quality of care in South Africa. *Nurs Health Sci.* 2014;16(2):224–31.
42. Ma'mari A, Sharour LA, Omari OA. Predictors of perceptions of patient safety culture and frequency of event reporting by critical care nurses in Oman: a model-building approach. *Crit Care Shock.* 2019;22:230.
43. Falcone ML, Van Stee SK, Tokac U, Fish AF. Adverse event reporting priorities: an integrative review. *J Patient Saf.* 2022;18:e727–40.
44. Zabin LM, Zaitoun RSA, Abdullah AA. Patient safety culture in Palestine: university hospital nurses' perspectives. *BMC Nurs.* 2022;21:204.
45. Al-Surimi K, Almuhayshir A, Ghailan KY, Shaheen NA. Impact of patient safety culture on job satisfaction and intention to leave among healthcare workers: evidence from Middle East context. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy.* 2022;15:2435–51.
46. Ludwig-Beymer P. Quality and safety in high-reliability organizations. *Introduction Qual Saf Educ Nurs Core Competencies Nurs Leadersh Manag.* 2018;89.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.